

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY KHARAGPUR MHRD SCHEME: GLOBAL INITIATIVE FOR ACADEMIC NETWORKS (GIAN)

No.IIT/GIAN/S-17/1297

Date: 28.11.2017

To
The Vice Chancellor
Central University of Kerala
Tejaswini Hills,
Kasaragod District, Periye,
Kerala – 671 316

Subject: Approval of courses and sanction of funds for implementation of Global Initiative for Academic Networks (GIAN) programme during the financial year 2016-17

Sir/Madam,

I am pleased to inform you the GIAN implementation committee has approved the proposals submitted from your Institute/University as detailed in the following table.

| # | Course Info | Start/End Date | Amount allotted (max.) |
|---|---|--------------------------|---|
| 1 | 174045K02: Matrix-Analytic Methods in Queueing Models Coordinator: Dr. Manikandan Rangaswamy, Department of Mathematics, Central University of Kerala | 15/01/2018 25/01/2018 | \$ 12000 (Rs. 8.16 Lakh (for 28 lectures in 11 days) |

The validity of this approval order is subjected to fulfilling the following terms and conditions:

- The amount allocated for each course is the maximum amount and is to be spent for (a) honorarium and travel of one foreign expert/faculty only (b) lecture note preparation (c) video recording of the course (d) contingencies and miscellaneous expenses, if any. A maximum of Rs. 1.5 lakhs (for one week course, 10-14 lectures) and Rs. 2 lakhs for (2 weeks course, 20-28 lectures) can be spent for items (b), (c) and (d) only.
- 2. The activities in the scheme should be limited to the extents of fund available with the grantee Institution at any given time. The grantee Institution would bear responsibility for any expenditure incurred over and above available grants and the sanctioning authority or MHRD would not be liable to reimburse the same, on a routine basis, except as per rules.
- 3. A statement of expenditure and utilization certificate of the fund released signed by the appropriate financial authority should be sent within 30 days after completion of the course. The GIAN implementation Committee will have liberty to blacklist those Institutions, which do not submit the utilization certificate within the prescribed time from any future participation in the GIAN initiative and recommend to MHRD for stringent action.
- Each course should be video recorded and appropriate consent should be obtained from foreign faculty.

- 5. Institute will ensure that the course coordinator will not violate copyright of anyone knowingly or willingly for the preparation of course material.
- 6. Institute shall send a course completion report along with soft copy of course materials and video recording of the course within 30 days after completion of the course.
- 7. The account where this fund is kept shall be open to inspection by the sanctioning authority and audit, both by Comptroller and Audit General of India under provision CAG (DPC) act 1971 and internal audit by the Principal Accounts Office of MHRD, Dept of Higher education, whenever the grantee is called upon to do so.
- 8. Institute will advise the Course Coordinator to finalise and upload the course brochure to GIAN portal (www.gian.iitkgp.ac.in) as early as possible for wide publicity. The sanctioned fund will be released after the submission of utilization certificates for the fund received for all previously completed courses.

Kindly send us an <u>acceptance of the same</u> along with duly signed pre-stamp receipt and Bank details, for transfer of funds through RTGS.

Global Initiative for Academic Networks (GIAN)

Copy to :-

- 1. Local Coordinator, GIAN, Central University of Kerala, Kerala 671 316
- 2. Shri Praveen Kumar, IAS, JS, MHRD
- 3. Ms. Darshana Momaya Dabral, JS & FA, MHRD
- 4. Registrar, IIT Kharagpur for release of funds
- 5. Office copy, GIAN, IIT Kharagpur

Matrix-Analytic Methods in Queueing Models

Overview

Queueing models play an important role in many industries notably in service sectors. Some of these services are offered via Web. Thus, the arrivals to the service sectors (as well as to other industries) are from different sources and hence to assume that the inter-arrival times are independent is very novice. How do we build correlation into the inter-arrival times but at the same time make the queueing models amenable to practical implementation?

Dr. Marcel Neuts laid the foundation for practical queueing models through the introduction of phase type distributions, versatile Markovian point process (now known as Markovian arrival process), computational probability, and matrix-analytic methods. The answer to the question raised earlier is "through the use of Markovian arrival process and computational probability). Since then, these concepts and methods have been used by several researchers all over the world, and the First International Conferences on Matrix-analytic Methods in Stochastic models was organized by Dr. Srinivas Chakravarthy and Dr. Attahiru Alfa in 1995. The ninth one was recently held in Budapest, Hungary, during June 2016, to point out the importance and the interests in these methods.

The purpose of this series of lectures and tutorials is to expose research scholars, teachers, and industry practitioners to the fundamentals of matrix-analytic methods concepts and tools. Since more complicated queueing models need to be considered in several practical situations, and since the industry & businesses require solutions at a fast rate, the participants of these lectures/tutorials will also exposed to fundamentals of simulation using powerful simulation software, ARENA. The participants will be highly encouraged to bring their stochastic models to give hands-on experience during the sessions dealing with tutorials.

Course Objectives

The primary objectives of the course are as follows:

- Expose participants to the fundamentals of queues and practical aspects of queues.
- Expose participants to fundamentals concepts from Markov chains and phase type distributions.
- Expose participants to Markovian arrival process and matrix-analytic methods in stochastic models.

- Expose participants to simulation tools.
- Enhance participants the usefulness of matrix-analytic methods and simulation through practical examples and useful queueing models.
- Participants will be encouraged to bring their own (practical) queueing models and show them how to apply the tools that they are exposed.

| Modules | January 15-25, 2018 (10 days) Total contact hours: 28 hours lectures and 24 hours tutorials | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | Last date of receiving application is on or before December 20, 2017. Number of participants for the course will be limited to thirty five. | | |
| Course Outline | Probability Theory and Markov chains, Phase type distributions and Markovian arrival process, Queuing Theory, Matrix-analytic methods in queues, Deterministic/Stochastic Inventory models, Quasi-birth-and-death process, M/G/1-type queues, GI/M/1-type queues, Simulation, Queuing-inventory Systems. | | |
| You are eligible to attend if | You are a Student, at the levels (M.Sc/M.Phil/Ph.D.) and interested in learning Stochastic Modelling and its Analysis You are a Post-doc or Faculty from academic/ technical institutions and interested in Stochastic Modelling and its Analysis | | |
| All prospective candidates need to do web portal registration for the course of (http://www.gian.iitkgp.ac.in/GREGN/index) by making a onetime non-refur payment of Rs. 500/- After the mandatory web registration, the selected candidates will be intimated to register for the course by making full payment of the course registration. Fees | | | |
| | Registration Fees | | |
| | Participants from abroad: US \$ 500 | | |
| | Participants from South Asia: US \$ 300 | | |
| | Participants (Students/Post-docs) from India: Rs. 3000/- Participants (Faculties) from India: 3500/- | | |
| | Participants from host Institution: Rs. 1500/- | | |
| | The above fee is towards instructional materials, lunch, tea, snacks etc. Expenses for accommodation and the travel should be borne by participants. | | |

The Faculty



Srinivas Chakravarthy is Professor of Industrial Engineering in the Departments of Industrial and Manufacturing Engineering & Mathematics at Kettering University (formerly known as GMI Engineering & Management Institute), Flint, Michigan, USA. He was department head of Industrial and Manufacturing Engineering during 2013 – 2016.

Srinivas Chakravarthy's research interests are in the areas of algorithmic probability, queuing, reliability, inventory, Healthcare Modelling and simulation. He has published more than 100 papers in leading journals and made more than 90 presentations at national and international conferences. His recognitions and awards include Distinguished Faculty, 2015 (inducted into Kettering's Faculty and Alumni Honour Wall), National Science Foundation Awards, Rode's Professor, Kettering University, Kettering University Distinguished Research Award, Kettering University/GMI Alumni Outstanding Teaching Award, GMI Outstanding Research Award, and GMI Alumni Outstanding Teaching Award, and Educator of the Year Award by IEOM Society, 2016. He has significant industrial experience by consulting with GM, FORD, PCE, and UPS. His professional activities include serving as (a) Area Editor for the journal, Simulation Modelling Theory and Practice; (b) Advisory Editor for Queueing Theory and Service Management; (c) Associate Editor for the journal IAPQR TRANSACTIONS - Indian Association for Productivity, Quality & Reliability; (d) Advisory Board Member for several other journals and international conferences; and (e) Reviewer for many professional journals.



Professor A. Krishnamoorthy is an UGC Emeritus Fellow & Honorary Director of Centre for Research in Mathematics at CMS College, Kottayam and he was formerly Professor of Mathematics in the Department of Mathematics at CUSAT. He is the Principal Investigator of the Indian team in the DST sponsored Indo-Russian bilateral research collaboration (jointly with Russian Academy of Sciences). He has produced 30 PhD's and published over 130 research papers in national/international SCI/SCOPUS indexed journals. He serves as: Chief Editor of *Bulletin of Kerala Mathematical Association*, Advisory Editor of *Queueing Models and Service Management*, Deputy Editor of *Electronic Journal of Reliability: Theory & Applications* and Reviewer of many world class journals. He also serves as advisory board member for several journals and international conferences.

Course Coordinator



Manikandan Rangaswamy is currently working as an Assistant Professor in the Department of Mathematics at Central University of Kerala, Kasaragod, India. He obtained his Doctoral degree from Cochin University of Science and Technology (CUSAT), Cochin, India. After obtaining his Doctoral degree he joined as a Post-Doctoral Fellow in the Department of Mathematics at Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India. He was also a Visiting Scientist at Indian Statistical Institute Bangalore, India. His research interests primarily lie in Stochastic Modelling & Analysis of Queuing/Inventory/Reliability Systems, Stochastic Control, Markov Decision Process and Applications of Stochastic Games in Queues.

Course Coordinator

Manikandan Rangaswamy, Ph.D Assistant Professor Department of Mathematics School of Physical Sciences Central University of Kerala Tejaswini Hills, Periya Kasaragod-671316, Kerala, INDIA

Phone: +91 467 2233522 (Office) +91 9447720279 (Mobile)

Email: mani@cukerala.ac.in
Web: www.cukerala.ac.in

If you do not yet have a GIAN account, you are required to register paying a nominal fee Rs. 500/- at the web page:

http://www.gian.iitkgp.ac.in/GREGN

gian

Global Initiative of Academic Network (GIAN) Programme

Central University of Kerala

Tejaswini Hills, Periye, Kasaragod

Report on Conduct of GIAN Course

| Title of GIAN Course | Matrix-Analytic Methods in Queueing Models | | |
|---|--|---|--|
| GIAN Course ID | 174045K02 | | |
| Period of Course | 15.01.2018 to 25.01.2018 | | |
| Name and Department o | f Faculty from Central Uni | iversity of Kerala | |
| Course Coordinator | | | |
| Name | Dr. Manikandan Ranga | aswamy | |
| Department | Department of Mathematical | natics | |
| Co-host Faculty, if any | NIL | | |
| Name | Not Applicable | | |
| Department | Not Applicable | | |
| Name and Affiliation of | f International Faculty | | |
| Name | Professor Srinivas Cha | akravarthy | |
| Affiliation | Kettering University, Fi | lint, Michigan, USA | |
| Name and Affiliation of | f National Faculty, if any | | |
| Name | Professor A. Krishnam | oorthy | |
| Affiliation UGC Emeritus Fellow Mathematics, CMS C | | & Honorary Director of Centre for Research in illege, Kottayam | |
| Structure of the Course | | 3 | |
| Duration of course (1 w | eek or 2 weeks) | 2 weeks | |
| Number of credits (1 or | · 2) | Not Applicable | |
| Total number of lectures | s in the course | 32 | |
| Number of lectures by I | nternational Faculty | 24 | |
| Number of lectures by r | | 6 | |
| Number of lectures by | | 2 | |
| Number of hours of laboratory/tutorial sessions | | 12 | |
| Participants of the Cours | se | | |
| Number of student parti | cipants | 18 | |
| Number of participants from Industry/ Research Organisations | | NIL | |

| Number of Faculty participants | 11 |
|--|--|
| | 29 |
| Total Number of participants | Not Applicable |
| Number of participants who credited for the course | Trott spends to |
| Course Generated Fund | ALL MIDD Cout |
| | ₹ 816000.00 (Fund provided by MHRD Govt. |
| Sponsorship, if any (in Rs.) | of India for GIAN Scheme) |
| Registration Fee Collected | ₹ 80500.00 |
| Total amount | ₹ 896500.00 |

Interaction with Professor Srinivas Chakravarthy, Kettering University, Flint, Michigan, USA:

Interaction of Host Faculty, Dr. Manikandan Rangaswamy:

Professor Srinivas Chakravarthy is an expert in the field of Matrix-Analytic Methods especially in Queueing Models. We will be really benefitted with his lectures and research problem discussion. We mainly discussed about working problem "Production inventory system with catastrophe and loss". He gave some suggestions to solve this complicated problem easily, also he suggested for doing numerical analysis. His classes especially, simulation tutorials are really effective and useful for me to do further research in this field.

Interaction of other faculty members in the host institute:

Professor Srinivas Chakravarthy interacted with other faculty members in the department. He shared, working and academic culture of his university including research activities and programmes offered from Kettering University, USA. This interaction is helping us to boost up the quality teaching and research. We arranged a meeting with Professor G. Gopakumar Vice Chancellor. During the meeting, Professor Srinivas Chakravarthy expressed his willingness to sign MoU between Kettering University and Central University of Kerala. Our Vice Chancellor also accepted and he thank to Professor Chakravarthy to accept our proposal and his contribution to our university.

Interaction of faculty/researchers from other institutes/organizations

There are 11 faculty members from different colleges and 18 research scholars from different institutions including IIT-BHU, NIT Raipur, BITS-Pilani, Pondichery University and Central University of Kerala are actively participated in GIAN course. They also interacted with Professor Srinivas Chakravarthy during GIAN programme. They also discussed their own research problems and they got suggestions and clarifications from him. His spirit and motivation was a very inspiring

| Signature of C | was a very inspiring. |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Signature of Course Coordinator | LAS COLORA LE MANIKANDAN R |
| | THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF KETALANDAN R THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF MATHEMATICS THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP |
| Date of submission of report | Department of Kerala |
| significant of report | The second secon |
| | सहित्यान अधिवान Department of Mathematical Properties Department of Mathematical Department of Kerala Department of Kerala Department of Kerala Perive P.O. 671316 Perive P.O. 671316 Rasaragod, Kerala Rasaragod, Kerala |
| | The state of the s |



| NAME OF THE PARTICIPANT | REGISTRATION NUMBER |
|-------------------------|---------------------|
| GULAB PATEL | 2015150467325 |
| MR. GOPAL KUMAR GUPTA | 2015150664734 |
| DR. RESMI VARGHESE | 2015150965267 |
| JOMY PUNALAL | 2015150964744 |
| SOMASUNDARAM B | 2015150765971 |
| JIJO JOY | 2015150064940 |
| ANILKUMAR M.P. | 2015150465032 |
| RINSY THOMAS | 2015150265218 |
| SHRUTI | 2015150668045 |
| ROSHLI ANIYERI | 2015150566152 |
| DEVICHANDRIKA V | 2015150366263 |
| SINU LAL T S | 2015150866887 |
| SWETHA M S | 2015150468693 |
| SHAJEEB P U | 2015150366371 |
| AMBILY P MATHEW | 2015150167717 |
| ANU NUTHAN JOSHUA | 2015150965194 |
| ABDUL ROF V | 2015150466725 |
| DR. REEJA P V | 2015150764145 |
| SWETA DEY | 2015150568681 |
| MANJU RAMALINGAM | 2015150565786 |
| PRAJISHA E | 2015150370146 |
| LAKSHMIPRIYA N | 2015150170114 |
| SREYA V.V. | 2015150370115 |
| JINTO JAMES | 2015150970341 |
| SOORYA P | 2015150870147 |
| SHINE RAJ S N | 2015150270160 |
| ABDUL JALEEL A.P | 2015150170141 |
| MOHAMMED SAFWAN V P | 2015150570231 |
| BIVAS BANK | 2015150964909 |



Your feedback is critical for the GIAN team to ensure we are meeting your educational needs. We would appreciate if you could take a few minutes to share your opinions with us so we can serve you better.

| | | | | 3 | o ottor. | |
|---|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------|---------|
| Course Title: MATRIX ANALTTIC | METHODS | IN QUE | UEING ITHE | oty Mot | PELS. | |
| lote: OF AL 9-10 | | | | | | |
| Pate: 25-01-2018 Faculty | Member (s): | Dr. S.R. Ch | akravasthy, | Dr. A. K | rishnam | oosthin |
| Given the topic, was this course | | | b. Right leng | | | 0 |
| In your opinion, was this course: | □ a. | Introductory | b. Intermedia | ate 🗆 c. A | dvanced | |
| Please rate the following: | | | | | | |
| a. Course overallb. Course Instructorc. Quality of Presentationd. Course Materiale. Video and Acoustics | Excellent | Very Good | Good | Fair | Poor | |
| Would you recommend this course? Definitely not Unlike recommend recommend | ely to Recommend reser | mmend with vations | Likely to recommend | Recomme enthusiasn | nd with | |
| What are the strengths of the course? | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| How could the course be improved? | | | | | | |
| A well set up lab is very Accommodalin facility require | uved for | Long &sm | ulation se | ssions - | ental pr | ofrans |
| recommended packeting regular | | | 1100 | TOTAL | | 0 |
| What did you most appreciate/enjoy | /think was l | est about the | e course? | | | |
| What did you most appreciate/enjoy | /think was l | pest about the | e course? | 3 | | |

Thank you!



Your feedback is critical for the GIAN team to ensure we are meeting your educational needs. We would appreciate if you could take a few minutes to share your opinions with us so we can serve you better.

| Course Title: MATRIX ANALYTICS METHODS IN QUEUEING MODELS |
|--|
| Date: 25/1/2018 Faculty Member (s): 1) S.R. Chakravarth, A Krishnamorsthy, R Manit |
| 1. Given the topic, was this course □ a. Too short □ b. Right length □ c. Too long |
| 2. In your opinion, was this course: □ a. Introductory □ b. Intermediate □ c. Advanced |
| 3. Please rate the following: Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor |
| 5. What are the strengths of the course? It gives a good insight to Various Stochastic Madels, Matrix analytic methods and Simulation. |
| 6. How could the course be improved? Course is well encented, but the poor if the accommodation is provided inorde the campus it will be nore effective |
| 7. What did you most appreciate/enjoy/think was best about the course? |
| The dedicated teamwork attitude of Resource persons, support of Course Co-ordinator. |

Thank you!



Your feedback is critical for the GIAN team to ensure we are meeting your educational needs. We would appreciate if you could take a few minutes to share your opinions with us so we can serve you better.

| Cou | ourse Title: MATRIX ANALYTIC METHODI IN QUEUFING MODELS. | |
|-----|--|-------|
| Dat | Faculty Member (s): Dn. S.R. Chakeavarthy, Da. A. Krushnamoorthy, Dr. R. Manika | mdan. |
| 1. | Given the topic, was this course \square a. Too short \square b. Right length \square c. Too long | |
| 2. | In your opinion, was this course: □ a. Introductory□ b. Intermediate ☑ c. Advanced | |
| 3. | Please rate the following: Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor | |
| | a. Course overall b. Course Instructor c. Quality of Presentation d. Course Material e. Video and Acoustics | |
| 4. | Definitely not Unlikely to Recommend with recommend recommend reservations recommend reservations recommend return recommend reservations recommend reservations | |
| 5. | . What are the strengths of the course? | |
| | | |
| 6. | . How could the course be improved? | |
| | | |
| 7. | 7. What did you most appreciate/enjoy/think was best about the course? | |
| | • | |

Thank you!



Your feedback is critical for the GIAN team to ensure we are meeting your educational needs. We would appreciate if you could take a few minutes to share your opinions with us so we can serve you better.

| Co | urse Title: | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| Da | te: Faculty Member (s): Dr. Srinivas R Chakravarthy | | |
| 1. | Given the topic, was this course \square a. Too short \square b. Right length \square c. Too long | | |
| 2. | In your opinion, was this course: a. Introductory b. Intermediate c. Advanced | | |
| 3. | Please rate the following: Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor a. Course overall b. Course Instructor c. Quality of Presentation d. Course Material e. Video and Acoustics | | |
| 4. | Would you recommend this course? Definitely not Unlikely to Recommend with recommend recommend reservations recommend enthusiasm | | |
| 5. | What are the strengths of the course? The course content is heally structured and planned. Enter course material was covered as per the schedule | | |
| 6. | How could the course be improved? It could be improved by adding more hands on training Sessions. | | |
| 7. | What did you most appreciate/enjoy/think was best about the course? Discussions and the futorials involved in this section | | |

Thank you!

Gian

Global Initiative of Academic Networks Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur Course Evaluation Form

Your feedback is critical for the GIAN team to ensure we are meeting your educational needs. We would appreciate if you could take a few minutes to share your opinions with us so we can serve you better.

| Course Title: Mattix Ha Analytic methods and Queuing models. |
|---|
| Date: 25-01-18 Faculty Member (s): Chakeravar thy, Lough ramons thy, Marikandar |
| 1. Given the topic, was this course □ a. Too short □ b. Right length □ c. Too long |
| 2. In your opinion, was this course: □ a. Introductory □ b. Intermediate □ c. Advanced |
| 3. Please rate the following: a. Course overall b. Course Instructor c. Quality of Presentation d. Course Material e. Video and Acoustics Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D |
| 4. Would you recommend this course? Definitely not recommend recommend reservations Definitely not recommend with recommend with recommend enthusiasm |
| 5. What are the strengths of the course? Gives good knowledge about stochattle froms. |
| 6. How could the course be improved? More introductive lessions. |
| 7. What did you most appreciate/enjoy/think was best about the course? |
| classis by chakravorthy and knishnamworthy. |

Thank you!



Your feedback is critical for the GIAN team to ensure we are meeting your educational needs. We would appreciate if you could take a few minutes to share your opinions with us so we can serve you better.

| Course Title: MATRIX ANALYTIC | |
|---|--|
| Course Title. | Prof. Sorinevas R. Chakravarthy |
| Date: 25/1/2018 Faculty M | Member (s): Pars - A - Knishnamoorthy |
| 1. Given the topic, was this course | ☐ a. Too short ☐ b. Right length ☐ c. Too long |
| 2. In your opinion, was this course: | ☐ a. Introductory☐ b. Intermediate ☐ c. Advanced |
| a. Course overall b. Course Instructor c. Quality of Presentation d. Course Material e. Video and Acoustics | Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor |
| Would you recommend this course? Definitely not Unlike | ely to Recommend with Likely to Recommend with recommend enthusiasm |
| 5. What are the strengths of the course? o Crowd faculty having | on exemplay mastery over the subject |
| 6. How could the course be improved? | |
| Improve co-ordination Lack of facilités in | in regarding bood, stay etc., the nenne . ep. to negerding lab and so, |
| 7. What did you most appreciate/enjo | py/think was best about the course? |
| Port. S. R. Chakravarth | y and Port. A. Knishnamoothy |

Thank you!



Your feedback is critical for the GIAN team to ensure we are meeting your educational needs. We would appreciate if you could take a few minutes to share your opinions with us so we can serve you better.

| Course Title: Matrin Analytic Methods in Quencing Models Dr. Srinwas R Chaktavarthy, |
|--|
| Date: 24/1/2018 Faculty Member (s): Dr. A. Krishnamoorthy |
| 1. Given the topic, was this course ☐ a. Too short ☐ b. Right length ☐ c. Too long |
| 2. In your opinion, was this course: □ a. Introductory □ b. Intermediate □ c. Advanced |
| 3. Please rate the following: Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor a. Course overall b. Course Instructor c. Quality of Presentation d. Course Material e. Video and Acoustics |
| 4. Would you recommend this course? Definitely not recommend recommend reservations Definitely not recommend with recommend reservations Definitely not recommend reservations Definitely not recommend with recommend with recommend reservations |
| 5. What are the strengths of the course? |
| Excellent exposure to Matrix Analytic Methods & Simulation. Aunua for interaction. |
| 6. How could the course be improved? |
| Better has facilities could be plovided especially for Simulation clanes improve coordination with regard to food, stayetc. |
| 7. What did you most appreciate/enjoy/think was best about the course? |
| The Classes of Dr. S. Chaksavarthy & Dr. A. Krishnamoorthy |

Thank you!

gian

Global Initiative of Academic Networks Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur Course Evaluation Form

Your feedback is critical for the GIAN team to ensure we are meeting your educational needs. We would appreciate if you could take a few minutes to share your opinions with us so we can serve you better.

| appreciate if you could take a few fillilities to share your opinions with as so we can |
|---|
| Course Title: Majohn - Analyse Mathad in Quing Motel |
| Date: 25 01 18 Faculty Member (s): SRC |
| 1. Given the topic, was this course □ a. Too short □ b. Right length □ c. Too long |
| 2. In your opinion, was this course: □ a. Introductory□ b. Intermediate □ c. Advanced |
| a. Course overall b. Course Instructor c. Quality of Presentation d. Course Material e. Video and Acoustics Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor D. D |
| 4. Would you recommend this course? Definitely not recommend recommend recommend reservations Definitely not recommend reservations |
| 5. What are the strengths of the course? 10851 were tempton foun boostes, informative & |
| 6. How could the course be improved? |
| Nothing in particlus |
| 7. What did you most appreciate/enjoy/think was best about the course? |
| Introduce sessions. |

Thank you!



Your feedback is critical for the GIAN team to ensure we are meeting your educational needs. We would appreciate if you could take a few minutes to share your opinions with us so we can serve you better.

| Course Title: Wolkshop on Mateix Analytic Methods in Queling Moc |
|--|
| Date: 15/1/18 to 25/1/18 Faculty Member (s): M. Rangaswany |
| 1. Given the topic, was this course □ a. Too short □ b. Right length □ c. Too long |
| 2. In your opinion, was this course: □ a. Introductory□ b. Intermediate □ c. Advanced |
| 3. Please rate the following: Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor a. Course overall b. Course Instructor c. Quality of Presentation d. Course Material e. Video and Acoustics |
| 4. Would you recommend this course? Definitely not recommend with recommend |
| 5. What are the strengths of the course? Experienced Resource Persons, Lab sessions, Tutorials |
| 6. How could the course be improved? |
| More lab sessions |
| 7. What did you most appreciate/enjoy/think was best about the course? |
| Interactions court sessions with faculty nombors |

Thank you!



Your feedback is critical for the GIAN team to ensure we are meeting your educational needs. We would appreciate if you could take a few minutes to share your opinions with us so we can serve you better.

| Cou | urse Title: Madrix - Handy hic Mathedo in Querry Models |
|------|--|
| Date | e: 25 01 18 Faculty Member (s): Proof SRC, Proof Ak, pr. Manikadan |
| 1. | Given the topic, was this course \square a. Too short \square b. Right length \square c. Too long |
| 2. | In your opinion, was this course: a. Introductory b. Intermediate c. Advanced |
| 3. | Please rate the following: Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor a. Course overall b. Course Instructor c. Quality of Presentation d. Course Material e. Video and Acoustics |
| 4. | Would you recommend this course? Definitely not Unlikely to Recommend with recommend recommend reservations Commend recommend reservations Commend recommend reservations Commend recommend reco |
| 5. | What are the strengths of the course? |
| | |
| 6. | How could the course be improved? |
| | |
| 7. | What did you most appreciate/enjoy/think was best about the course? |
| | |

Thank you!



Your feedback is critical for the GIAN team to ensure we are meeting your educational needs. We would appreciate if you could take a few minutes to share your opinions with us so we can serve you better.

| Course Title: Motory Analytic Methods in Queying Theory |
|---|
| course ritte. |
| Course Title: Mafrix Apralytic Methods in Queung Theory Date: 15 to 25 - 01-2018 Faculty Member (s): Spinivas. Ray. Charavarthy |
| 1. Given the topic, was this course a. Too short b. Right length c. Too long |
| 2. In your opinion, was this course: □ a. Introductory □ b. Intermediate □ c. Advanced |
| 3. Please rate the following: Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor |
| a. Course overall b. Course Instructor |
| c. Quality of Presentation d. Course Material |
| e. Video and Acoustics |
| 4. Would you recommend this course? Definitely not Unlikely to Recommend with recommend recommend reservations Definitely not Unlikely to Recommend with recommend enthusiasm |
| 5. What are the strengths of the course? |
| Internation with students and family. |
| 6. How could the course be improved? |
| Hi Reducing Speed |
| 7. What did you most appreciate/enjoy/think was best about the course? |
| Innovative methodologies and ideas in Research field |

Thank you!



Your feedback is critical for the GIAN team to ensure we are meeting your educational needs. We would appreciate if you could take a few minutes to share your opinions with us so we can serve you better.

| Date: 25.1.2018 Faculty Mo | Tember (s): 1-Dr-SR-CHAKRA VARTHY, Dr A. KRISHNA MOORTHY, Dr. | |
|--|---|-----------|
| 1. Given the topic, was this course | | ANGASWANY |
| 2. In your opinion, was this course: | ☐ a. Introductory☐ b. Intermediate ☐ c. Advanced | |
| a. Course overall b. Course Instructor c. Quality of Presentation d. Course Material e. Video and Acoustics 4. Would you recommend this course? Definitely not Unlike recommend recommend recommend — | Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor | |
| 5. What are the strengths of the course? | | |
| 6. How could the course be improved? | | |
| 7. What did you most appreciate/enjoy | y/think was best about the course? | |

Thank you!



Your feedback is critical for the GIAN team to ensure we are meeting your educational needs. We would appreciate if you could take a few minutes to share your opinions with us so we can serve you better.

| Co | urse Title: Matha Analyte Mothode in Accounty debels. |
|-----|--|
| Dat | te: 28/04/18 Faculty Member (s): Pool Srincus & Pool trechnamosth |
| 1. | Given the topic, was this course \square a. Too short \square b. Right length \square c. Too long |
| 2. | In your opinion, was this course: □ a. Introductory □ b. Intermediate □ c. Advanced |
| 3. | Please rate the following: a. Course overall b. Course Instructor c. Quality of Presentation d. Course Material e. Video and Acoustics Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor Course Instructor Course Material |
| 4. | Would you recommend this course? Definitely not recommend recommend recommend reservations Definitely not recommend reservations Definitely not recommend reservations Definitely not recommend reservations Definitely not recommend with recommend reservations Definitely not recommend reservations |
| 5. | What are the strengths of the course? Classes of resource Persons and G.S. Besnew) |
| 6. | How could the course be improved? The Compass Can be provided wither |
| 7. | What did you most appreciate/enjoy/think was best about the course? Mosthe Lolog of Laceby by Mis RC & grif |
| | Orishnamosa, & Do Manikuda. |

Thank you!



Your feedback is critical for the GIAN team to ensure we are meeting your educational needs. We would appreciate if you could take a few minutes to share your opinions with us so we can serve you better.

| Cou | rse Title: A short term Course on Matrin Analytic Methods wi Queuring models. Dr SR chakravarther e: 15/12 6 25/1/8 Faculty Member (s): Dr A Krishnamur thy |
|------|---|
| Date | e: 16/18 b 25/18 Faculty Member (s): DX A Krishna mur thy |
| 1. | Given the topic, was this course \square a. Too short \square b. Right length \square c. Too long |
| 2. | In your opinion, was this course: □ a. Introductory □ b. Intermediate □ c. Advanced |
| 3. | Please rate the following: Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor |
| | a. Course overall b. Course Instructor c. Quality of Presentation d. Course Material e. Video and Acoustics |
| 4. | Would you recommend this course? Definitely not recommend recommend reservations Definitely not recommend with recommend reservations Definitely not recommend reservations Definitely not recommend with recommend with recommend reservations |
| 5. | What are the strengths of the course? Received a Lot of new informations from this course. Really this course helped me bot very much. |
| 6. | How could the course be improved? |
| | The course can be improved by adding more tutoxials. |
| 7. | What did you most appreciate/enjoy/think was best about the course? |
| | Diseuxsion regarding the subject. |

Thank you!



Your feedback is critical for the GIAN team to ensure we are meeting your educational needs. We would appreciate if you could take a few minutes to share your opinions with us so we can serve you better.

| Course Title: Mactoria Analytic methods in Oneging models |
|--|
| Course Title: Mactoria Analythe Methods in Quering models Date: dr-01-2018 Faculty Member (s): Proof 8-R Cherkman 14, 100f |
| 1. Given the topic, was this course □ a. Too short □ b. Right length □ c. Too long |
| 2. In your opinion, was this course: □ a. Introductory □ b. Intermediate □ c. Advanced |
| 3. Please rate the following: Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor a. Course overall b. Course Instructor c. Quality of Presentation d. Course Material e. Video and Acoustics 4. Would you recommend this course? Definitely not Unlikely to Recommend with recommend reservations Publication Poor Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor Definitely of Presentation Definitely of Presentation Definitely not Unlikely to Recommend with recommend reservations Recommend with recommend enthusiasm |
| 5. What are the strengths of the course? Lowcellent Classes of prof characteristry sid and profosing A - k orthnamorthy birs. |
| 6. How could the course be improved? Imporming que la faulides, al comodadon and Coroddinerton. |
| 7. What did you most appreciate/enjoy/think was best about the course? |
| Interculture with the faculty members. |

Thank you!



Your feedback is critical for the GIAN team to ensure we are meeting your educational needs. We would appreciate if you could take a few minutes to share your opinions with us so we can serve you better.

| Co | urse Title: A Workshop on Matria Analytic Method on Queuesj |
|----|--|
| Da | te: 25/01/18 Faculty Member (s): Spropres R. Charkmanarths, A Knowling Ministernet |
| 1. | Given the topic, was this course \square a. Too short \square b. Right length \square c. Too long |
| 2. | In your opinion, was this course: a. Introductory b. Intermediate c. Advanced |
| 3. | Please rate the following: Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor |
| | a. Course overall b. Course Instructor c. Quality of Presentation d. Course Material e. Video and Acoustics |
| 4. | Would you recommend this course? Definitely not recommend recommend reservations Definitely not recommend reservations The recommend reservations recommend with recommend with recommend with recommend with recommend recomme |
| 5. | |
| | God Resource persons, to-ordinater |
| 6. | How could the course be improved? |
| | Redwel the speed of presuration and moverse the Suration of Courte, Noght class very be arranged |
| 7. | |
| | 1 enjured Boof. A. Usosham ronovatro Ess's lecteral. |

Thank you!



Your feedback is critical for the GIAN team to ensure we are meeting your educational needs. We would appreciate if you could take a few minutes to share your opinions with us so we can serve you better.

| Course Title: MATRIX ANDLYTIC METHODS IN QUEUEING THEORY |
|---|
| Date: 15-25, JAN 2018 Faculty Member (s): A. Kroshna Moorthy Date: 15-25, JAN 2018 Faculty Member (s): A. Kroshna Moorthy |
| 1. Given the topic, was this course □ a. Too short □ b. Right length □ c. Too long |
| 2. In your opinion, was this course: □ a. Introductory □ b. Intermediate □ c. Advanced |
| 3. Please rate the following: Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor a. Course overall b. Course Instructor c. Quality of Presentation d. Course Material e. Video and Acoustics |
| 4. Would you recommend this course? Definitely not recommend recommend reservations Commend recommend reservations Commend recommend |
| 5. What are the strengths of the course? What are the strengths of the course? Maria We can learn the matrix analytic methods from one of the masters of this field. |
| 6. How could the course be improved? |
| According to me this course seguires no improvements. We are fully satisfied. |
| 7. What did you most appreciate/enjoy/think was best about the course? |
| I appreciate the simplicity of the resource porsons to extract with us. |

Thank you!

Gian

Global Initiative of Academic Networks Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur Course Evaluation Form

Your feedback is critical for the GIAN team to ensure we are meeting your educational needs. We would appreciate if you could take a few minutes to share your opinions with us so we can serve you better.

| Cou | urse Title: Madrix - Analytice Methods in aning Models | |
|--|--|--|
| Date: Faculty Member (s): DSRC, DATE, Dr. MR | | |
| 1. | Given the topic, was this course □ a. Too short □ b. Right length □ c. Too long | |
| 2. | In your opinion, was this course: □ a. Introductory□ b. Intermediate □ c. Advanced | |
| | Please rate the following: Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor a. Course overall b. Course Instructor c. Quality of Presentation d. Course Material e. Video and Acoustics Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor Course Instructor Course Material Course M | |
| 5 | Definitely not recommend with recommend with recommend reservations recommend reservations What are the strengths of the course? | |
| 5. | Introduction sessions and onfleton from basis | |
| 6. | Franky are faither in the Lab | |
| 7. | What did you most appreciate/enjoy/think was best about the course? | |
| | Clarrer of SRC, AK GMR. | |

Thank you!



Your feedback is critical for the GIAN team to ensure we are meeting your educational needs. We would appreciate if you could take a few minutes to share your opinions with us so we can serve you better.

| Course Title: Mafrin- Analytic Methods en Jueuiner Models. |
|--|
| Date: 25/01/2018 Faculty Member (s): Dr. S.R. Chakravarthy, Dr.A. Krishamourth , Dr. Manikandan Ranga swamy 1. Given the topic, was this course a. Too short b. Right length c. Too long |
| 2. In your opinion, was this course: □ a. Introductory □ b. Intermediate □ c. Advanced |
| 3. Please rate the following: Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor |
| MIGII, GI/MII and Tolong Queuing Inventory Sustem. |
| 6. How could the course be improved? |
| 7. What did you most appreciate/enjoy/think was best about the course? |
| grateful to the si all six for these kined bhoken one |

Thank you!



Your feedback is critical for the GIAN team to ensure we are meeting your educational needs. We would appreciate if you could take a few minutes to share your opinions with us so we can serve you better.

| | The same of the sa | | | |
|---|--|--------------|---------------------|---|
| Course Title: Matrix - Analy | tic Methods | in Que | eueing | models |
| Date: 25 Jan 12018 Faculty M | Tember (s): $\forall x \in A$ | , A={S | RC, AK | , MR} |
| 1. Given the topic, was this course | a. Too short | b. Right len | gth 🗆 c. T | Too long |
| 2. In your opinion, was this course: | ☐ a. Introductory | b. Intermedi | ate 🗆 c. A | dvanced |
| Please rate the following: a. Course overall | Excellent Very Good | Good | Fair | Poor |
| b. Course Instructorc. Quality of Presentation | | | | |
| d. Course Material e. Video and Acoustics | | | | |
| | ly to Recommend with mend reservations | | Recommend enthusias | T. C. |
| 5. What are the strengths of the course? | | | | |
| wicili' ciluli' o | ueueing-Invento | ng syste | m .and | ARENA. |
| 6. How could the course be improved? | | | | |
| Thoroughly, courses were fast. | ere very fin | e pmy 8 | some le | ctures |
| 7. What did you most appreciate/enjoy. | think was best about t | the course? | | |
| It was very good expensionerse, dedicated to | whole worksho | b. All si | r, n ed e | very |
| | | | | |



Your feedback is critical for the GIAN team to ensure we are meeting your educational needs. We would appreciate if you could take a few minutes to share your opinions with us so we can serve you better.

| - | urse Title: MATRIX- ANALYTICAL METHODS IN BUEDEING MODELS. |
|----|--|
| Co | urse Title: MAIKIX - MUNLY HUNL THE HOUS IN BUEVEING THE BEST |
| Da | te: d5-01-18 Faculty Member (s): D1. S. R. Chakrawarthy Dr. A. Krishnamov |
| 1. | Given the topic, was this course \square a. Too short \square b. Right length \square c. Too long |
| 2. | In your opinion, was this course: a. Introductory b. Intermediate c. Advanced |
| 3. | a. Course overall Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor |
| | b. Course Instructor c. Quality of Presentation d. Course Material e. Video and Acoustics |
| 4. | Would you recommend this course? Definitely not Unlikely to Recommend with recommend recommend reservations Commend recommend reservations Commend recommend recomm |
| 5. | What are the strengths of the course? |
| | |
| 6. | How could the course be improved? |
| | |
| 7. | What did you most appreciate/enjoy/think was best about the course? |
| | |

Thank you!



Your feedback is critical for the GIAN team to ensure we are meeting your educational needs. We would appreciate if you could take a few minutes to share your opinions with us so we can serve you better.

| Cou | rse Title: World shop on Matrix-Analytic Mathods in Quencing Models |
|------|--|
| Date | e: 15-25 th Dunwy 2018 Faculty Member (s): SR Chakpankothy, A. Klush na moorthy, Hawkowdown |
| 1. | Given the topic, was this course \square a. Too short \square b. Right length \square c. Too long |
| 2. | In your opinion, was this course: □ a. Introductory□ b. Intermediate □ c. Advanced |
| | Please rate the following: a. Course overall b. Course Instructor c. Quality of Presentation d. Course Material e. Video and Acoustics Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor Course Gourse Instructor Course Material Course M |
| 4. | Would you recommend this course? Definitely not Unlikely to Recommend with recommend recommend reservations recommend enthusiasm |
| 5. | What are the strengths of the course? HAP, BNAP, PH-type, HIGIS. GITHII and Inventory guing segotement LAB (Arena) |
| 6. | You may shedule Lab section Conduct each day last section of Tutorial. |
| 7. | What did you most appreciate/enjoy/think was best about the course? I enjoyed, good chance to interdectand olds cuss with Prof and |
| | friends of Gian Coulde |

Thank you!



Your feedback is critical for the GIAN team to ensure we are meeting your educational needs. We would appreciate if you could take a few minutes to share your opinions with us so we can serve you better.

| Marking Am later | method | in Quel | wing n | rodels | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| Course Title: Matrix - Analytic Date: 15 to 25/01/18 Faculty: | | Dr. Sriniva Dr. A. Kri | is R.Ch shnamos | akravast | thy |
| Date: Faculty | Member (s): | Dr. Mani | kanda | n Ranga | sany |
| 1. Given the topic, was this course | □ a. | Too short | b. Right l | ength \square c. | Too long |
| 2. In your opinion, was this course: | □ a. | Introductory□ | b. Interme | ediate 🖾 c. | Advanced |
| Please rate the following: a. Course overall | Excellent | Very Good | Good | Fair | Poor |
| a. Course overall b. Course Instructor | | ā | ā | ā | ā |
| c. Quality of Presentation | | | | | |
| d. Course Material | | | | | |
| e. Video and Acoustics | | J | _ | _ | _ |
| 4. Would you recommend this course? Definitely not Unlil recommend recommend | kely to Recommend rese | | | Recommend enthusion | mend with |
| 5. What are the strengths of the course? | | | , | | |
| The coordinator of this even when there is I six and Dr. A. Krishna | Course | 089 anise | d with | rout any | y interpretation |
| even when there is I | seal stop | ke one or | ne day | Dr. Spiniv | as R. Chaksovath |
| It's and Dr. A. Krishna | noosthy. | Six are v | exy sin | certy tas | King classes. |
| 6. How could the course be improved? | | | | | |
| Please kindly insteade | some | more | basic | Classes | for this |
| course. | | | | | |
| 7. What did you most appreciate/enj | oy/think wa | s best about t | he course | , | |
| Enjoyed doing Simul | ation pro | ecticals u | with Dr | Srinivas | R. Chakoavarthy |



Your feedback is critical for the GIAN team to ensure we are meeting your educational needs. We would appreciate if you could take a few minutes to share your opinions with us so we can serve you better.

| Course Title: MATRIX- ANALYTIC METHODS IN QUEUEING MODELS Prof. Skinivas R. Chakkavarthy Prof. A. Kurchaamporthy |
|--|
| Date: 25-01-2018 Faculty Member (s): Prof. A. Kulshnamporthy Mani Kandan |
| 1. Given the topic, was this course □ a. Too short □ b. Right length □ c. Too long |
| 2. In your opinion, was this course: □ a. Introductory □ b. Intermediate □ c. Advanced |
| 3. Please rate the following: Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor |
| a. Course overall b. Course Instructor c. Quality of Presentation d. Course Material e. Video and Acoustics |
| 4. Would you recommend this course? Definitely not recommend recommend reservations The property of the prop |
| Two way traffic, doubts clearance, discussions and control |
| from basic to advance (as was expecting). |
| V |
| 6. How could the course be improved? |
| Though almost enerything was control with enough elaboration but if more of the practical applications/solutions can be considered applications/solutions can be considered. |
| 7. What did you most appreciate/enjoy/think was best about the course? |
| Learned-alot, enjoyed Kerala (South India) visit, food and |
| enforced many new things, concepts clarance, got to leaker from |

Thank you!



Your feedback is critical for the GIAN team to ensure we are meeting your educational needs. We would appreciate if you could take a few minutes to share your opinions with us so we can serve you better.

| Co | urse Title: A Short Learn Course on Malour Analytic Method |
|----|--|
| Da | Bagina Mid mais CDC Ale MP |
| 1. | Given the topic, was this course \square a. Too short \square b. Right length \square c. Too long |
| 2. | In your opinion, was this course: □ a. Introductory□ b. Intermediate □ c. Advanced |
| 3. | a. Course overall b. Course Instructor c. Quality of Presentation d. Course Material e. Video and Acoustics Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor Output Outpu |
| 4. | Would you recommend this course? Definitely not recommend reservations recommend with recommend with recommend with recommend with recommend with recommend with recommend reservations recommend recommend reservations recommend reservations recommend reservations recommend recommend recommend recommend recommend recomme |
| 5. | What are the strengths of the course? |
| | It helps to attain lot of knowledge oregarding |
| 6. | How could the course be improved? |
| | It get Celps me to let for improving my work. |
| 7. | What did you most appreciate/enjoy/think was best about the course? |
| | Crroup discussion |

Thank you!



Your feedback is critical for the GIAN team to ensure we are meeting your educational needs. We would appreciate if you could take a few minutes to share your opinions with us so we can serve you better.

| Co | urse Title: Matrix Analytic methods in queueing models. |
|----|--|
| Da | te: 25/1/2018 Faculty Member (s): Dr. S.R. Chakravarthy, Dr. A. Krishnamoo |
| 1. | Given the topic, was this course \square a. Too short $^*\square$ b. Right length \square c. Too long |
| 2. | In your opinion, was this course: a. Introductory b. Intermediate c. Advanced |
| 3. | Please rate the following: Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor a. Course overall b. Course Instructor c. Quality of Presentation d. Course Material e. Video and Acoustics |
| 4. | Would you recommend this course? Definitely not recommend recommend reservations Definitely not recommend reservations The recommend reservations recommend recomme |
| 5. | What are the strengths of the course? |
| | |
| 6. | How could the course be improved? |
| | |
| 7. | What did you most appreciate/enjoy/think was best about the course? |
| | |

Thank you!



Your feedback is critical for the GIAN team to ensure we are meeting your educational needs. We would appreciate if you could take a few minutes to share your opinions with us so we can serve you better.

| Co | urse Title: Matrin Analytic Methods in Sucreing Medels. |
|----|--|
| Da | te: 25/1/2018 Faculty Member (s): Dr. S. R. Chakravarthy, A. Krishnamourt |
| 1. | Given the topic, was this course \square a. Too short \square b. Right length \square c. Too long |
| 2. | In your opinion, was this course: a. Introductory b. Intermediate c. Advanced |
| 3. | Please rate the following: a. Course overall b. Course Instructor c. Quality of Presentation d. Course Material e. Video and Acoustics Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor Course Instructor Course Material |
| 4. | Would you recommend this course? Definitely not recommend reservations recommend reservations recommend reservations recommend reservations recommend reservations |
| 5. | What are the strengths of the course? |
| | |
| 6. | How could the course be improved? |
| 7. | What did you most appreciate/enjoy/think was best about the course? |

Thank you!



Your feedback is critical for the GIAN team to ensure we are meeting your educational needs. We would appreciate if you could take a few minutes to share your opinions with us so we can serve you better.

| Cour | se Title: Matrix Analytic Methods in Queueing Theory Prof Sourieras R Charmavarthy |
|------|---|
| | Given the topic, was this course \Box a. Too short \Box b. Right length \Box c. Too long |
| | n your opinion, was this course: a. Introductory b. Intermediate c. Advanced |
| 3. I | Please rate the following: Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor a. Course overall b. Course Instructor c. Quality of Presentation d. Course Material e. Video and Acoustics |
| 4. | Would you recommend this course? Definitely not Unlikely to Recommend with recommend recommend reservations recommend enthusiasm |
| 5. | What are the strengths of the course? The debth of knowledge in the subject showing various ways to approach a given topic enspired us to learn the subject with vigour |
| 6. | How could the course be improved? Nor dowlit, the course was excellent. But I feel spending 3-4 days in learning simulation would have been more effective for me |
| 7. | What did you most appreciate/enjoy/think was best about the course? Two way traffic, problem solving methods, so all nice companions made my 10 days emforgetlable. |

Thank you!



Your feedback is critical for the GIAN team to ensure we are meeting your educational needs. We would appreciate if you could take a few minutes to share your opinions with us so we can serve you better.

| Co | urse Title: Maknin Analytic Methods in Queing theory Models. |
|----|--|
| Da | te: 15.01.18 to 25.01-18 Faculty Member (s): 5.R. Chaknavanthy, Ak moorthy or Manikandan |
| 1. | Given the topic, was this course \square a. Too short \square b. Right length \square c. Too long |
| 2. | In your opinion, was this course: □ a. Introductory□ b. Intermediate ☑ c. Advanced |
| 3. | Please rate the following: Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor a. Course overall b. Course Instructor c. Quality of Presentation d. Course Material e. Video and Acoustics |
| 4. | Would you recommend this course? Definitely not recommend recommend reservations The property of the propert |
| 5. | What are the strengths of the course? |
| | Excellent Course instructions, good course timings, excellent coordination |
| 6. | How could the course be improved? |
| 7 | Shark from basic result & definitions so that beginners also can tollow. From the wee days must be. What did you most appreciate/enjoy/think was best about the course? |
| 7. | |
| | Paskicipanks from different statis in India |

Thank you!