SYSTEMATICS AND PHYLOGENY

A taxonomic revision of Lemna sect. Uninerves (Lemnaceae)

Manuela Bog, ¹ D K. Sowjanya Sree, ² D Joerg Fuchs, ³ D Phuong T.N. Hoang, ^{3,7} D Ingo Schubert, ³ D Jan Kuever, ⁴ Andreas Rabenstein, ⁴ Simona Paolacci, ⁵ D Marcel A.K. Jansen ⁵ D & Klaus-J. Appenroth ⁶ D

- 1 University of Greifswald, Institute of Botany and Landscape Ecology, Greifswald, Germany
- 2 Central University of Kerala, Department of Environmental Science, Periye, Kerala, India
- 3 Leibniz Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research, Gatersleben, Germany
- 4 Bremen Institute of Material Testing, a division of the Leibniz Institute for Materials Engineering IWT, Bremen, Germany
- 5 University College of Cork, Environmental Research Institute, Cork, Ireland
- 6 University of Jena, Matthias Schleiden Institute Plant Physiology, Jena, Germany
- 7 Present address: University of Dalat, Faculty of Biology, Dalat City, Lamdong Province, Vietnam Address for correspondence: Manuela Bog, manuela.bog@uni-greifswald.de

DOI https://doi.org/10.1002/tax.12188

Abstract Lemna sect. Uninerves Hegelm. consists of three species, Lemna minuta Kunth (synonym L. minuscula), L. valdiviana Phil. and L. yungensis Landolt. Lemna yungensis was discovered growing on rocks in the Yungas in Bolivia by E. Landolt and was described just 20 years ago. In the original description, Landolt reported that this species is closely related to L. valdiviana and that it is difficult to distinguish the three species on a morphological basis. Therefore, the taxonomic position and status of L. yungensis remained controversial. Here, we carried out a detailed taxonomic study, integrating approaches that include quantitative morphometry, metabolomic profiling by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF-MS) as well as molecular genetic analysis using amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP), and barcoding of plastidic sequences. We also investigated genome sizes of clones of the three species. Whereas L. minuta can easily be differentiated from L. valdiviana and L. yungensis, it was not possible to distinguish L. valdiviana from L. yungensis with any of the methods used. These data imply that L. yungensis is identical to L. valdiviana. Thus, the name L. yungensis should be synonymised with the name L. valdiviana, since this is the older name.

Keywords AFLP; barcoding; duckweed; Lemna yungensis; MALDI-TOF-MS

Supporting Information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

■ INTRODUCTION

The genus Lemna L. was initially divided into five sections by Landolt (1986); however, this structure was later corrected by reducing the number of sections to four because the L. sect. Hydrophylla Dumort. (Lemna trisulca L.) turned out to be a part of L. sect. Lemna (Les & al., 2002). The Lemna sections, Lemna, Alatae Hegelm., Biformes Landolt, and Uninerves Hegelm., represent well-supported monophyletic clades (Les & al., 2002). Lemna sect. Uninerves includes three species: L. minuta Kunth, L. valdiviana Phil., and, since 1998 (Landolt, 1998), L. yungensis Landolt. Lemna valdiviana, which is restricted to warm temperate, subtropical and tropical regions of North and South America (Landolt, 1986), was first described by Rudolph Amandus Philippi (14 September 1808-23 July 1904) honouring the main place of his work, Valdivia, Chile (Philippi, 1864). The taxonomic separation of L. valdiviana from its sister species L. minuta was reviewed by Reveal (1990) and Crawford & al. (1996). Lemna minuta, a species originally distributed throughout the temperate zones and in the mountains of North and South America (Landolt, 1986), but invasive in Europe (e.g., Ceschin & al., 2016a, 2018; Kirjakov & Velichkova, 2016; Paolacci & al., 2018a,b), was already described in Nova genera et species plantarum by Karl Sigismund Kunth (Humboldt & al., 1815: 371-372) and was referred to as L. minuscula Herter for many years (Landolt, 1986) until Reveal (1990) showed that L. minuta is the older, i.e., legitimate name for this species. After investigating 25 clones of L. minuta and 26 clones of L. valdiviana, Crawford & al. (1996) called the two species sisters because they could only be distinguished, with difficulty, on a morphological basis. Even Elias Landolt, world-renowned Lemnaceaeexpert and co-author of Crawford & al. (1996), confessed great problems in distinguishing these two species. It was also Landolt, who established the endemic species L. yungensis,

Article history: Received: 22 Feb 2019 | returned for (first) revision: 20 Jun 2019 | (last) revision received: 26 Sep 2019 | accepted: 21 Oct 2019 | published online: 12 Mar 2020 | Associate Editor: Aelys Muriel Humphreys | © 2020 The Authors.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

56 Version of Record

TAXON published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of International Association for Plant Taxonomy.